

CFP report

Outcomes of the online consultation and next steps

Fleur Breuillin, MARE.D3

Online consultation

- December 2021 February 2022
- Objective: gather info on what works well / what could be improved, supporting evidence and good practices / innovative tools
- 195 responses received from 22 MS





Participation in the survey

Figure 2: Participation in the survey by type of organisation





Topics that were addressed in priority by each stakeholder category

- NGOs : bycatch and discards, conservation of sensitive species and habitats, the need for increased transparency, the importance of electronic monitoring, and issues related to MPAs
- Fisheries sector and public authorities : quotas, the landing obligation, and the need to promote seafood consumption in the EU + transparency (SSCF and trade associations)
- Academic / research institutions: bycatches and discards, MSY, and the need for improved leadership



General feedback

- The CFP Regulation remains a good framework for fisheries management
- Improvements needed:
 - implementation, control and enforcement
 - proper inclusion of an ecosystem-based, precautionary approach
- Importance of the CFP contribution to wider EU policy objectives (Green Deal, Farm to Fork, UN SDGs (food security, livelihoods of the coastal communities, environmental sustainability)
- Improvements brought by the 2013 reform: MSY leading to TACs and quotas set closer to the scientific advice (though more is needed to completely eliminate overfishing)

• Fishing opportunities / compliance with the landing obligation

Figure 9: Percentage of respondents who experience the following challenges in the implementation and control of the landing obligation:



- Fishing opportunities:
 - Better integration of social and economic dimensions to the CFP and the decisionmaking processes, and SSF's fishing opportunities
 - Management of shared fish stocks
 - Management at national level



Figure 12: Percentage of respondents who feel that their MS implemented the requirements set out in Articles 16 and 17 in a satisfactory manner:



Q15. MS can decide themselves on how to design the entry/exit scheme at national level. Please indicate whether the situation should remain unchanged, or if more guidance is needed from the Commission on the best ways to implement the scheme.

• Data collection and quality of scientific advice

Figure 10: Percentage of respondents who see a need to further strengthen the scientific basis for fisheries management in the following areas:



- Innovation:
 - Promote "fully documented fisheries"
 - EMFAF: importance of correctly implementing the new measures to promote the modernization of the EU fleet, and to boost the transformation towards carbon neutrality. Mixed views on the support to generational renewal / marine protection and ecosystem restoration

Figure 11: Percentage of respondents who see an opportunity to use new technologies or know any good practices or innovations that could help improve data collection and help deliver best available scientific advice:





• Governance: make the decision-making processes fully transparent, continuously involving all stakeholders incl. Advisory Councils



European Commission

Q19. Would you see the need for further improving the decision-making process? (yes/no)

- Climate change
- Better inclusion of aquaculture into the CFP framework
- Improvement of seafood traceability rules and control of imports
- Improve the integration and coherence of the CFP with other EU policies

For more details: <u>https://ec.europa.eu/oceans-and-fisheries/news/register-now-stakeholder-event-common-fisheries-policy-10-june-2022-2022-04-20_en</u>

European

Next steps

- May: regional events
- 10 June: stakeholders event: https://cfpreportevent2022.b2match.io
- End 2022: adoption of the report



Thank you



© European Union 2020

Unless otherwise noted the reuse of this presentation is authorised under the <u>CC BY 4.0</u> license. For any use or reproduction of elements that are not owned by the EU, permission may need to be sought directly from the respective right holders.

Slide xx: element concerned, source: e.g. Fotolia.com; Slide xx: element concerned, source: e.g. iStock.com

