# Working Group 2: EU Market

### **Control Regulation**

Update on the revision process

### Process so far

### **Commission**: 30 May 2018 – published revision proposal

### **European Parliament**

- 27 November 2018: Exchange of views with experts in PECH Committee
- 18 December 2018: Rapporteur I. Thomas (S&D) released draft report
- 24 January 2019: Exchange in PECH Committee on Thomas' report
- 5 February 2019: Deadline for Amendments

### Council

- limited progress so far
- hope for more progress under current presidency

## Process going forward

### **European Parliament**

• 20 February: next exchange on Control Regulation

*Possible routes for vote on draft report:* 

- (1) 25 March: extraordinary PECH committee vote
  - Vote in plenary in week beginning 15 April
- (2) 8 April: vote in PECH Committee
  - Vote in plenary in week beginning 15 April
- (3) 8 April: vote in PECH Committee
  - PECH Committee text will be carried forward to new EP (September 2019)

## MAC Opinion (5 November 2018)

Agreement with proposal on:

- Recreational fisheries (Art. 55)
- Principles for control of marketing (Art. 56)
- Better control of small scale fisheries
- Digitalisation of catch certificates (IUU Reg)



MAC Opinion EU Fisheries Control System

Ver. 05.11.2018

#### Introduction

The Market Advisory Council (MAC) was not in a position to respond to the consultation exercises carried out by the European Commission prior to the adoption of the proposals for reform of the current Union Fisheries Control System (FCS) now contained in document COM (2018) 368 final. It also recognises that many of the issues raised fall within the remit of other Advisory Councils more directly involved in fisheries management.

There are nevertheless aspects of the proposals, notably those relating to the amendment of Regulation No 1224/2009, which impact directly on the marketing of fish and fishery products and which are therefore directly relevant to its own horizontal remit in relation to the market and the need for a coherent and effective legislative framework which is consistently and fairly applied across the entire supply chain and results in a level playing field for fishery products compared to other food products.

The MAC accordingly wishes to address the comments contained in this Advice notice to the co-legislators as they begin their work of examining the Commission's proposals in the hope that this will help to inform those discussions and provide material for further reflection as discussion proceeds, including on the part of the Commission itself.

#### **Proposed amendments**

#### Context of the proposal

As set out in the Explanatory Memorandum to the proposal, the Commission has identified 4 specific objectives that it wishes to achieve:

1) Bridge the gaps with the CFP and with other EU policies;

2) Simplify the legislative framework and reduce unnecessary administrative burden;

3) Improve availability, reliability and completeness of fisheries data and information, in particular of catch data, and allow exchange and sharing of information; and

 Remove obstacles that hinder the development of a culture of compliance and the equitable treatment of operators within and across Member States.

The MAC hopes the legislators will take into account these principles, ensuring that the proposed articles and measures do not represent unnecessary additional burdens or costs to the sector. This is particularly relevant in relation with the second objective mentioned above.

## MAC Opinion (5 November 2018)

Recommendation for changes to:

- Lots (Art. 56)
  - Restrictions on mixing species
  - Less strict requirements for lots > 30 kg
- Traceability (Art. 58)
  - Clarification of information in a "digitalised way"
  - Need for comparable traceability b/w EU-caught and imported fishery products

And: need for ample time before entry into force



MAC Opinion EU Fisheries Control System

Ver. 05.11.2018

#### Introduction

The Market Advisory Council (MAC) was not in a position to respond to the consultation exercises carried out by the European Commission prior to the adoption of the proposals for reform of the current Union Fisheries Control System (FCS) now contained in document COM (2018) 368 final. It also recognises that many of the issues raised fall within the remit of other Advisory Councils more directly involved in fisheries management.

There are nevertheless aspects of the proposals, notably those relating to the amendment of Regulation No 1224/2009, which impact directly on the marketing of fish and fishery products and which are therefore directly relevant to its own horizontal remit in relation to the market and the need for a coherent and effective legislative framework which is consistently and fairly applied across the entire supply chain and results in a level playing field for fishery products compared to other food products.

The MAC accordingly wishes to address the comments contained in this Advice notice to the co-legislators as they begin their work of examining the Commission's proposals in the hope that this will help to inform those discussions and provide material for further reflection as discussion proceeds, including on the part of the Commission itself.

#### Proposed amendments

#### Context of the proposal

As set out in the Explanatory Memorandum to the proposal, the Commission has identified 4 specific objectives that it wishes to achieve:

1) Bridge the gaps with the CFP and with other EU policies;

2) Simplify the legislative framework and reduce unnecessary administrative burden;

- Improve availability, reliability and completeness of fisheries data and information, in particular of catch data, and allow exchange and sharing of information; and
- 4) Remove obstacles that hinder the development of a culture of compliance and the equitable treatment of operators within and across Member States.

The MAC hopes the legislators will take into account these principles, ensuring that the proposed articles and measures do not represent unnecessary additional burdens or costs to the sector. This is particularly relevant in relation with the second objective mentioned above.

## Rapporteur's draft report

- Supports harmonisation
- unique admin. body in each MS to coordinate implementation of fisheries control
- Single EU-wide electronic inspection form
- Introduces: new sanction level ("major")
- Strongly favours EFCA involvement
  - EFCA tool for fishers' obligations acc. to position, gear, date
- Identical MS forms for catches, loss of fishing gear & all reporting obligations



### Rapporteur's draft report

- EFCA:
  - Centralised analysis of data by EFCA
- Opposes: limited introduction of CCTV on fishing vessels to check landing obligation

European Parliament 2014-2019	
Committee on Fisheries	
	2018/0193(CO
18.12.2018	
***	
•	REPORT
amending Counci Regulations (EC) and Regulation (F Council as regard	for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council il Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, and amending Council ) No 768/2005, (EC) No 1967/2006 and (EC) No 1005/2008 EU) No 2016/1139 of the European Parliament and of the ds fisheries control 8 – C8-0238/2018 – 2018/0193(COD))
Committee on Fis	sheries
Rapporteur: Isabe	elle Thomas

## MAC Opinion – Draft report

- Art. 56 Principles for the control of marketing
- Draft report: Each Member State shall be responsible for controlling on its territory the application of the rules of the common fisheries policy at all stages of marketing of fishery and aquaculture products, from their placing on the market to the retail sale, including *catering and* transport.
  - Art. 58.5 Traceability
- Draft report: the date of catches for fishery products or date of harvest for aquaculture products, *or* the date of production where applicable;
  - Art. 58.10 Traceability
- Draft report: This Article shall not apply to ornamental fish.  $\rightarrow$  deletion of crustaceans and molluscs



## Control Regulation event: 7 March 2019

- Organised by group of NGOs
- Hosts:
  - R. Serrão Santos (S&D)
  - I. Thomas (tbc)
  - with the Intergroup on Climate Change, Biodiversity and Sustainable Development
- Expert views on Control Regulation + panel discussion
  - Followed by Q&A session
- 2-hour event in European Parliament in Brussels